UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,151	07/30/2003	Alan F. Wahl	0020-00211US	1873
51946 7590 04/09/2007 SEATTLE GENETICS, INC. 21823 30TH DRIVE SE			EXAMINER	
			SCHWADRON, RONALD B	
BOTHELL, WA 98021			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1644	
		·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS ·		04/09/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		A
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,151	WAHL ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ron Schwadron, Ph.D.	1644
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
	—· s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		prosecution as to the morite is
closed in accordance with the practice under		•
Disposition of Claims 1,6,12,18,22, 21	0,65-16,78-83,	76, 48-111)
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.	/
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement	
/-	/	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		* *
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Appli	cation No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		
application from the International Burea		on a man and transcriation of tags
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		eived.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Ma	il Date
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application
Patent and Trademark Office	o) 🗀 Other:	
FOL 200 (D	ction Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 200703

Application/Control Number: 10/632,151 Page 2

Art Unit: 1644

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1,6,12,18,22,26,65-70,96,98-111 are drawn to antibodies and compositions and kits, classified in class 530, subclass 391.1, class 424, subclass 178.1 and class 435, subclass 975.
- II. Claims 71-76, drawn to a method of treating B cell malignancy, classified in class 424, subclasses 155.1, 174.1.
- III. Claim 78-83 drawn to a method of treating an immune disorder, classified in class 424, subclass 810.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons.
- 3. Inventions II-III are different methods which use different ingredients to achieve different goals. Invention II is drawn to a method of treating B cell malignancy, while invention III is drawn to a method of treating an immune disorder such as autoimmune disease. The aforementioned method treat different patient populations with different diseases. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.
- 4. Inventions I and II/III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the claimed antibody can be used in immunoassays or to deplete cells in vitro.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for any group from Groups I-III is not required for any other group from Groups I-III and Groups I-III have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Therefore they are novel and unobvious in view of each other and are patentably distinct.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,151

Art Unit: 1644

6. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

7. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1644

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571 272-0851. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan, can be reached at 571 272 0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANALD B. SCHWADRON PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1690 \ 600

Ron Schwadron, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1644